

Nikki R. Haley
Governor

Robert M. Hitt III
Secretary

**TO:** Michael McInerney, Director of External Affairs

**South Carolina Department of Commerce** 

FROM: Alan D. Young, Executive Director,

**South Carolina Coordinating Council for Economic** 

**Development** 

**South Carolina Department of Commerce** 

**DATE:** March 11, 2016

**SUBJECT:** Economic Development Set-Aside Fund,

**Governors Closing Fund and** 

**Rural Infrastructure Fund Activity for 2015** 

On behalf of the South Carolina Coordinating Council for Economic Development, I am pleased to submit the 2015 Annual Report of Fund Activity. In accordance with Sections 12-10-85(D) and 12-28-2910(E), this report details activities of the Council regarding the Economic Development Set-Aside Fund, the Governor's Closing Fund and the Rural Infrastructure Fund. These funds are managed by the South Carolina Department of Commerce's Grants Administration Division. Please forward to the Governor's Office, the Budget & Control Board, the Senate Finance Committee and the House Ways & Means Committee.

I am available at 803-737-0448 should you have questions or need additional information.

cc: The Honorable Robert M. Hitt III, Secretary, SC Department of Commerce

Chairman, Coordinating Council for Economic Development

Rick Reames III, Director, SC Department of Revenue

Chairman, Coordinating Council Enterprise Committee

The Honorable Hugh E. Weathers, Commissioner, SC Department of Agriculture

Ralph A. Odom, Jr., Chairman, State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education

William M. Blume, Jr., Chairman, SC Research Authority

Michael W. Nix, Chairman, Jobs Economic Development Authority

W. Leighton Lord III, Chairman, Santee Cooper

Duane N. Parrish, Director, SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism

Patrick W. McKinney, Chairman, State Ports Authority

Cheryl M. Stanton, Director, SC Department of Employment and Workforce

Christie A. Hall, Secretary, SC Department of Transportation

Enclosure

# South Carolina Coordinating Council for Economic Development

# 2015 Annual Report of Economic Development Set-Aside Fund, Governor's Closing Fund and Rural Infrastructure Fund Activity

#### SC Coordinating Council for Economic Development 2015 Report of Economic Development Set-Aside Fund, Governor's Closing Fund and Rural Infrastructure Fund Activity

#### **Table of Contents**

Overview of the Coordinating Council for Economic Development	
Council Responsibilities and Membership	2
2015 Administrative Changes	
Coordinating Council for Economic Development State Grant Funds	5
Overview of State Grant Funds	
Economic development Set-aside	
Governor's Closing Fund	
Rural Infrastructure Fund	
Types of Projects Funded	
Applicant Eligibility	
2015 Jobs Tax Credit Designations	
Funding Process	
Funding Considerations	
Funding Guidelines for Business Development Grants	
Funding Process	
Eligible and Ineligible Activities	
Set-Aside	
Rural Infrastructure Fund	11
2015 Coordinating Council Funding Activity And Accomplishments	13
Business Development	
Community Development	
Grant Program Compliance	18
Monitoring	
Procurement	

## OVERVIEW OF THE COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

The Coordinating Council for Economic Development ("Council") was formed in response to a general need for improved coordination of efforts in the area of economic development by those state agencies involved in the recruitment of new business and the expansion of current enterprises throughout the State. Formally established in 1986 by the General Assembly (SC Code § 13-1-1710), the purpose of the Council is to enhance economic growth and development in the State through strategic planning and coordination. As such, the Council is chaired by the Secretary of Commerce. Ten additional members are drawn from other state agencies involved in economic development, and the member agency heads are either board chairmen or cabinet officials.

The Council's administrative staff is housed in the Grants Administration Division of the South Carolina Department of Commerce ("Department of Commerce"), which manages the Council's state grant funds as well as the Enterprise Zone programs. Grants Administration also manages two federal grant programs, the Community Development Block Grant and Appalachian Regional Commission programs.

The Department of Commerce Division of Small Business and Rural Development assists with projects that are eligible for the Rural Infrastructure Fund ("RIF") program. If the Council approves a RIF grant award, the Grants Administration Division administers the funds and the Small Business and Rural Development Division works with the county to ensure successful implementation of the project.

#### COUNCIL RESPONSIBILITIES AND MEMBERSHIP

By statute, the full body of the Council is required to meet at least quarterly. Its responsibilities include: establishing guidelines and procedures for all Council programs; implementing the state's strategy for economic development; reviewing and approving all applications for grants from the Economic Development Set-Aside, Rural Infrastructure, Governor's Closing and Tourism Infrastructure Funds; and reviewing and approving all applications for Enterprise Zone Job Development Credit and Retraining incentives and all applications for International Trade Incentives. The Council also certifies economic development projects as representing "significant economic impact" on areas surrounding them for the purposes of qualifying for income tax apportionment and income tax moratoriums.

Following enactment of the Enterprise Zone legislation in 1995, the Council formed a specialized, six-member subcommittee ("Enterprise Committee") to handle the substantial volume of new activity and related policy decisions. As of 2008, the Enterprise Committee is now made up of five members. This committee meets monthly to review and approve

applications for Enterprise Zone incentives and applications for International Trade incentives, and to respond to issues and recommend policies for adoption by the full Council at its quarterly meetings. Current membership of the Council is shown below.

#### AGENCY MEMBERS OF THE COORDINATING COUNCIL

SC Department of Commerce

Santee Cooper

SC Department of Agriculture

\*SC Department of Agriculture

\*SC Department of Parks, Recreation and

SC Research Authority

Jobs Economic Development Authority

SC Department of Employment

\*State Ports Authority

\*State Board for Technical & Comprehensive

Education

#### **2015 ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES**

and Workforce

Robert M. Hitt III, who was appointed Secretary of Commerce by Governor Haley in January 2011, acted as Chairperson of the Council throughout 2015. Rick Reames III was appointed Director of the SC Department of Revenue by Governor Haley in July 2014 and chaired the Enterprise Committee for the remainder of 2014 and through 2015. The Secretary of Transportation for the SC Department of Transportation and the Chairman of the Board of Directors of the State Ports Authority each changed in 2015.

Council membership after all changes in calendar year 2015 was as follows:

Robert M. Hitt III Secretary, SC Department of Commerce Chairman, Coordinating Council for Economic Development Director, SC Department of Revenue Rick Reames III Chairman, Coordinating Council Enterprise Committee Director, SC Department of Employment and Workforce Cheryl M. Stanton Hugh E. Weathers Commissioner, SC Department of Agriculture Chairman, State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Ralph A. Odom, Jr. Education Chairman, SC Research Authority William M. Blume, Jr Donald R. Tomlin, Jr. Chairman, Jobs and Economic Development Authority W. Leighton Lord III Chairman, Santee Cooper Patrick W. McKinney Chairman, State Ports Authority Duane N. Parrish Director, SC Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism Christie A. Hall Secretary, SC Department of Transportation

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes Enterprise Committee member

#### Current Council staff:

Alan D. Young Executive Director, Coordinating Council Cynthia S. Turnipseed Legal Counsel, Coordinating Council

Dale Culbreth Senior Program Manager, CCED Grant Programs
Marcella Forrest Senior Program Manager, Enterprise Zone Program

## COORDINATING COUNCIL FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STATE GRANT FUNDS

### OVERVIEW OF STATE GRANT FUNDS

#### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SET-ASIDE

In 1987, the General Assembly passed a bill that provided for an additional 3 cents per gallon tax on the sale of gasoline in the State. The General Assembly charged the Council with administering this new initiative known as the Economic Development Set-Aside Program ("Set-Aside"). The Set-Aside Fund is dedicated to improving the economic well-being of the State by providing funds to local government to develop the infrastructure necessary for new and expanding business. At inception, the fund was created from the first \$10 million received through State gas tax revenues. The annual \$10 million appropriation was later increased to \$18 million, and then in July 2006, to \$20 million. The funding source was also changed to be split between utility and gas tax revenues. By 2008, utility taxes were the sole funding source and Set-Aside revenue was capped at \$20 million.

During calendar year 2015, the Set-Aside Fund received \$18 million in utility tax receipts toward both the FY 14-15 and FY 15-16 appropriations. Transfers out of the fund for program administration and GIS totaled \$460,000. In addition, the Council made two transfers to the Closing Fund totaling \$19 million to assist with major economic development projects.

#### GOVERNOR'S CLOSING FUND

The Governor's Closing Fund ("Closing Fund") was created in 2006 when additional, more flexible funding was needed to assist with high impact economic development projects. Funding was originally dependent on annual appropriations from the General Assembly, which included an initial \$7 million for FY 06-07 and a second \$7 million for FY 07-08, but additional appropriations were limited in some years. To meet the need for adequate funding for economic development projects, and "to provide maximum flexibility to encourage the creation of new jobs and capital investment," the General Assembly voted to give the Council the authority to "transfer economic development funds at its disposal to the Closing Fund." This provision was first included in the General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2009-2010 in Proviso 40.30. Transfers must be approved by a majority vote of the Council members in a public meeting.

During calendar year 2015, the Council received \$11 million in appropriations for FY 15-16, and the Council transferred a total of \$23.9 million to the Closing Fund out of the Set-Aside Fund, the Rural Infrastructure Fund and the RIF Reserve to assist with major economic development projects. An additional \$520,549 was recaptured or repaid, bringing total funding for the year to \$35.4

million. Partially offsetting these receipts was a \$2 million interagency loan to Public Railways that will be repaid in future years.

#### RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND

The South Carolina Rural Development Act was enacted by the legislature in 1996 (SC Code § 12-10-80). This act established the Rural Infrastructure Fund ("RIF") with the purpose of providing financial assistance to local governments, primarily in rural counties, for infrastructure and other economic development activities. The goal of the RIF program is to promote and encourage economic growth and prosperity in the State's rural areas.

Enabling legislation gave the Council responsibility for funds generated by the provisions of the Rural Development Act, as well as for developing policies and procedures. Funding comes from companies participating in an Enterprise Zone Revitalization Agreement with the Council, which permits companies to claim a refund for a portion of the employee state payroll tax withholding sent to the Department of Revenue each quarter. This refund is designated as a Job Development Credit ("JDC") and may be used by the company to offset certain eligible company expenses, such as real property expenses, associated with its new or expanded operation.

Participating companies located in Tier IV counties, which are generally the least developed counties in the state, are eligible to claim a refund of 100% of the JDCs to which they are entitled under their Revitalization Agreement. Participating companies in Tier III and II counties may claim only 85% and 70%, respectively, of the JDCs for which they are otherwise eligible, and in the most developed Tier I counties participating companies may claim only 55%.

The JDC funds which participating companies cannot claim as a result of being located in a Tier I, II or III county are the source of funding for the RIF grant program. The Department of Revenue collects and transfers these monies to the RIF each quarter. During calendar year 2015, deposits received from the Department of Revenue for the RIF fund totaled \$19.3 million, and an additional \$200,533 was received under Proviso 40.17/50.17. Of the Department of Revenue receipts in excess of \$10 million, \$2,179,046 was reserved for developed counties as required (per SC Code § 12-10-85). Transfers out of the fund included \$600,000 for program administration, \$500,000 to satisfy a legislative mandate, and \$3 million transferred to the Closing Fund to assist with a major economic development project.

#### TYPES OF PROJECTS FUNDED

The purpose of both the Set-Aside and Closing Funds is to assist companies in locating or expanding in South Carolina. Together, these programs provide funding necessary to encourage competitive projects to locate or expand in South Carolina. Generally, "but for" or without Council participation, these projects would not locate or expand in South Carolina. Set-Aside grants are used primarily to fund land acquisition, road improvements, water and sewer infrastructure and site preparation costs related to business location and expansion. Closing Fund grants are more flexible and can be used to meet a wider variety of economic development project needs.

For counties that are eligible for RIF funding, RIF can be used for economic development project assistance, as well as assistance needed to prepare the state's most rural areas to support economic development. Initially, RIF funds were used primarily for "product development," but in 2005 the Council adopted a formal investment strategy that broadened the use of RIF funds to other types of activities necessary to improve economic competitiveness.

Accomplishments for RIF are described both in terms of grants used for business development assistance, which are tied to jobs and investment, and for more general community development, encompassing product development activities such as industrial parks and sites, as well as community revitalization and workforce development.

#### APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY

The Council can approve Set-Aside and Closing Fund assistance for projects anywhere in the state, regardless of location or county status. RIF, on the other hand, is geographically targeted according to the program's enabling legislation. Generally, only local governments located in counties designated as Tier III or Tier IV for Jobs Tax Credit purposes are eligible for RIF funds, except that when annual deposits exceed \$10 million, up to 25% of the amount over \$10 million must be made available to counties qualified as Tiers I or II for projects that will benefit underdeveloped areas of those counties (SC Code of Laws §12-10-85).

The four-tier "development level" of counties for the "Jobs Tax Credit" is a ranking determined by the Department of Revenue and published at the beginning of each calendar year. The criteria for this determination was established by the legislature (SC Code of Laws §12-6-3360.) The rankings for 2015 are shown below.

#### 2015 JOBS TAX CREDIT DESIGNATIONS

TIER IV	TIER III	TIER II	TIER I
100%	85%	70%	55%
Allendale Bamberg Barnwell Chesterfield Clarendon Dillon Hampton Marion Marlboro McCormick Union Williamsburg	Abbeville Cherokee Chester Colleton Darlington Fairfield Horry Jasper Lancaster Lee Orangeburg Sumter	Anderson Calhoun Edgefield Florence Greenwood Laurens Newberry Oconee Pickens Spartanburg York	Aiken Beaufort Berkeley Charleston Dorchester Georgetown Greenville Kershaw Lexington Richland Saluda

#### **FUNDING PROCESS**

#### **FUNDING CONSIDERATIONS**

For competitive economic development projects, the Council considers funding for projects on an individual basis and evaluates each of the following when determining whether funding is an appropriate and effective use of state grant funds:

- Competitiveness of the project;
- Number and type of jobs created;
- Type of industry (e.g., manufacturing, distribution, corporate headquarters, research and development);
- Unemployment rate in county where the project locates;
- Total invested dollars (land, building, machinery and equipment costs);
- Cost of the project;
- Cost-effectiveness of the project;
- Future tax revenues anticipated;
- Time frame for completion of construction of the facility;
- Infrastructure needs of the region;
- Funding sought from other sources;
- Financial viability of the company; and
- Company status as a good corporate citizen.

For RIF community development and product development grants, the Council considers a variety of factors, including:

- Economic viability of the project;
- Cost effectiveness of the project activities;
- Benefit to the state/region/county/municipality;
- Ability of local government(s) to carry out and maintain the project; and
- Ability to proceed to completion within a reasonable period of time.

The RIF project must also support the implementation of a county's strategic development plan, or be directly related to economic development in the area, and must demonstrate local political and public support. The Council also looks for significant community financial support and will typically not approve 100% of any request for RIF assistance. To ensure this, projects are considered for RIF funding only once all other available sources of funding have been committed. There generally must be a demonstrable shortfall that cannot be met without RIF assistance.

#### FUNDING GUIDELINES FOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANTS

- Council business development funding approval is tied directly to specific economic development projects with new job creation and capital investment.
- As a general rule, funding is limited to \$10,000 per new job created, but assistance may be higher where more substantial economic benefit is anticipated.
- A Department of Commerce Business Development project manager must be actively involved in the recruitment of the economic development project for which funding is requested.
- Without Council funding, the project will not locate or expand in South Carolina.
- Performance Agreements are required for all Council grant funds used as economic
  development tools to help recruit new or expand existing employers in the state. If the
  company fails to meet either the job or the capital investment guarantee, the use of
  Performance Agreements provides the Council with the ability to recapture funding by
  requiring pro-rata repayment of grant funds.

#### **FUNDING PROCESS**

For business development grants awarded from any funding source, the process is integrated with the Business Development project activities and functions of the Department of Commerce.

- 1. The Department of Commerce Business Development Division works with local governments to identify specific funding needs for projects. In rural counties where RIF funding may be used for business development purposes, the Small Business and Rural Development Division may liaison with the county. Preliminary details such as cost estimates, project scope, company financials and number of jobs and level of investment expected are submitted to Business Development.
- 2. Preliminary information is reviewed by the Council staff, and if it is determined that the project is consistent with the economic development goals of the State and meets established evaluation criteria, the local government is invited to submit a formal application for funding.

The remainder of the process is similar for both business development and non-business development grants:

- 3. Applications are submitted to the Department of Commerce Grants Administration Division and processed by staff. The related requests for funding are presented to the Council at its quarterly meetings.
- 4. The Council has the discretion to approve or disapprove all funding requests and may negotiate funding terms and amounts as it sees fit.
- 5. If funding is approved, approval letters and grant award agreements are sent to the local government. The grant award agreements must be signed by representatives with the authority to enter into contracts on behalf of the local government. Once signed, the agreement becomes an executed contract between the Council and the local government, containing the specific requirements and provisions associated with the grant award.

- 6. For business development projects, performance agreements are also required. These are contracts between the company, the local government applicant and the Council, and as such, they must be signed by company representatives that have the legal authority to enter into contracts on behalf of their respective entity. Performance agreements contain specific requirements for job creation and new capital investment.
- 7. The Council staff reviews all signed agreements and maintains copies in its grant files.
- 8. Once all agreements have been signed, Council grants may be used to reimburse approved project costs. Cost estimates provided at application serve as the project budget, and only those approved budget items and amounts are eligible for reimbursement.
- 9. Grant recipients submit paid invoices to Grants Administration to request reimbursement of approved project costs. Council staff monitors compliance with grant terms and budgets and reserves the right to deny payment for ineligible project costs or for failure to comply with grant requirements.
- 10. Once projects are complete, grantees notify the Council in writing and the Council staff initiates grant financial closeout.
- 11. For business development grants, final closeout does not occur until the company on whose behalf the project was undertaken submits documentation related to its performance under the grant. Jobs and investment are evaluated to determine whether they are sufficient to satisfy the terms of the agreement, and where appropriate, the Council reserves the right to require pro-rata repayment of grant funds.
- 12. In all cases, once all required closeout documentation has been submitted to the Council, and has been reviewed and determined to be in compliance with all terms and conditions of the grant award agreement and the performance agreement, if applicable, grants are officially closed.

#### ELIGIBLE AND INELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES

#### SET-ASIDE

Effective July 1, 2001, a proviso defining eligible uses of Set-Aside funds was passed by the legislature. Specifically, the proviso limited the use of Set-Aside funds to road construction improvement projects, water and sewer projects and site preparation, and it further defined allowable site preparation activities. In July 2006, the legislature passed a second proviso expanding eligible activities to include fiber optic cable, rail spurs and the purchase of land. Next, in 2010, the Economic Development Competitiveness Act added additional eligible activities that went into effect on January 1, 2011. Finally, also effective January 1, 2011, the proviso terms defining eligible activities for Set-aside grants were moved to SC Code § 12-28-2910 (E).

Below is a list of eligible and ineligible activities.

#### **Eligible Activities**

- Public Improvements Roads, Water and Wastewater Infrastructure
  - o Planning
  - o Engineering limited to 10%
  - o Right-of-way
  - o Drainage
  - o Curb and gutter only when necessary for drainage

- Construction
- o Cantilevered flashing light signals and/or gates at railroad crossings when necessary
- o Road re-surfacing or widening
- o Turn lanes and acceleration and deceleration lanes
- Site preparation
  - o Surveying
  - o Environmental and geotechnical study and mitigation
  - o Clearing, filling and grading
- Fiber optic cable
- Rail spurs
- Land acquisition
- Relocation expenses for employees paid at least two (2) times the lower of the State or county per capita income
- Acquiring and improving real property
- Pollution control equipment

#### Activities **Not** Eligible for Set-Aside Funding

- Speculative projects
- Opening up access to undeveloped property
- State government funded projects
- Maintenance of industrial/research parks
- Shopping centers/strip malls
- Signage (except project signs required as part of the grant award agreement or permanent construction signs required by the Department of Transportation)
- Paving of parking lots or lighting
- Civic centers and/or auditoriums, except that road improvements for civic centers may be funded (up to \$1,000,000) if associated with substantial economic development projects
- Curb and guttering for aesthetic purposes
- Concrete loading docks or pads
- Equipment and moving expenses
- Residential developments

#### RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND

Eligible activities generally include infrastructure and economic development activities. Examples are listed below:

- Engineering *limited to 10%*
- Right-of-way acquisition
- Drainage
- Roads
- Rail spurs
- Economic development program enhancement
- Speculative building assistance
- Training costs and facilities
- Improvements to regionally planned public and private water and sewer systems
- Fixed transportation facilities including highway, rail, water and air

- Improvements to both public and private electricity, natural gas and telecommunications systems
- Environmental studies
- Feasibility studies
- Community revitalization
- Marketing for counties (studies, materials)
- Small business incubators
- Industrial park development and improvement
- Relocation expenses for employees paid at least two (2) times the lower of the State or county per capita income
- Site preparation
- Acquiring or improving real property

## 2015 COORDINATING COUNCIL FUNDING ACTIVITY AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

#### **BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

During calendar year 2015, the Council awarded 85 new business development grants from the Set-Aside Fund, the Closing Fund and the Rural Infrastructure Fund. A total of \$83.2 million was awarded to 33 county governments. Projected capital investment from the associated projects is \$2.8 billion, and projected new jobs total 11,230. Commitments were also made for an additional \$15.5 million for 58 additional projects which are not yet decided. If won, these projects will represent over 7,600 additional jobs and \$3.4 billion in additional investment.

On the following pages are tables that outline the distribution of funds awarded between counties of different development status or tiers, project type (i.e., economic development projects associated with companies new to South Carolina or existing companies expanding in South Carolina) and funding source. Also included is a table that provides specifics on all projects approved during calendar year 2015. The totals shown on these charts only represent new grants awarded in 2015 and do not reflect amendments made to previously approved grants or funds committed by the Council.

Funding for business development projects was awarded out of Set-Aside, RIF and the Closing Fund, with the majority awarded out of Set-Aside.

### 2015 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANT AWARDS - BY FUNDING SOURCE -

FUNDING SOURCE	# GRANTS	TOTAL AWARDED
Set-Aside Fund	50	\$17,890,000
Rural Infrastructure Fund	32	\$12,675,000
Governors Closing Fund	4	\$52,640,000
TOTAL	85	\$83,205,000

## 2015 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANT AWARDS - BY COUNTY CLASSIFICATION -

COUNTY CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER OF PROJECTS	PROJECTED INVESTMENT	PROJECTED JOBS	
Tier I	27	\$1,050,889,133	4,084	
Tier II	24	\$567,016,429	3,401	
Tier III	21	\$675,329,587	2,837	
Tier IV	13	\$459,057,724	908	
TOTALS	85	\$2,752,292,873	11,230	

## 2015 BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT GRANT AWARDS - BY PROJECT TYPE -

PROJECT TYPE	FIRMS	PROJECTED INVESTMENT	PROJECTED JOBS
Existing/Expanding	44	\$1,907,966,218	6,528
New	41	\$844,326,655	4,702
TOTALS	85	\$2,752,292,873	11,230

# COORDINATING COUNCIL GRANT ACTIVITY & ACCOMPLISHMENTS NEW BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AWARDS CALENDAR YEAR 2015

Grant Number	Funding Source	Grant Recipient	County	Tier	Scope of Work	Grant Amount	Projected New Jobs	Projected Capital Investment
C-14-2278	Setaside	Greenville County	Greenville	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	245	12,835,072
C-14-2343	Setaside	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	500,000	56	10,000,000
C-14-2356	Closing	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Relocation	140,000	70	-
C-15-2396	RIF	Orangeburg County	Orangeburg	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	500,000	79	30,000,000
C-15-2397	Setaside	Newberry County	Newberry	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	100,000	238	3,463,000
C-15-2408	RIF	Orangeburg County	Orangeburg	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	75,000	15	7,758,120
C-15-2427	Setaside	Oconee County	Oconee	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	75,000	34	6,030,000
C-15-2428	Setaside	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	2,000,000	580	86,920,000
C-15-2433	Setaside	Anderson County	Anderson	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	100,000	125	13,000,000
C-15-2434	RIF	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	600,000	125	21,000,000
C-15-2450	RIF	Chesterfield County	Chesterfield	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	500,000	331	97,472,000
C-15-2451	Setaside	York County	York	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	200,000	112	68,122,000
C-15-2455	RIF	Williamsburg County	Williamsburg	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	200,000	40	8,000,000
C-15-2458	RIF	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	500,000	900	29,700,000
C-15-2461	Setaside	Oconee County	Oconee	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	50,000	33	1,500,000
C-15-2469	Setaside	Calhoun County	Calhoun	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	200,000	37	16,350,000
C-15-2470	Setaside	Richland County	Richland	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	50,000	91	2,750,000
C-15-2471	Setaside	Calhoun County	Calhoun	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	60,000	49	13,066,917
C-15-2477	Closing	Charleston County	Charleston	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	14,000,000	1300	400,000,000
C-15-2486	Setaside	Georgetown County	Georgetown	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	200,000	32	10,000,000
C-14-2316	Setaside	Aiken County	Aiken	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	400,000	41	9,015,000
C-14-2383	RIF	Orangeburg County	Orangeburg	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	500,000	50	6,500,000
C-15-2435	Setaside	Berkeley County	Berkeley	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	75,000	15	8,450,000
C-15-2445	Setaside	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	200,000	500	3,000,000
C-15-2474	Setaside	Anderson County	Anderson	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	3,400,000	216	91,139,732
C-15-2476	Setaside	Lexington County	Lexington	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	41	80,000,000
C-15-2480	RIF	Barnwell County	Barnwell	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	1,000,000	105	199,000,000
C-15-2481	Setaside	York County	York	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	55,000	33	3,550,000
C-15-2487	Setaside	Anderson County	Anderson	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	400,000	49	11,100,000
C-15-2490	RIF	Orangeburg County	Orangeburg	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	150,000	30	9,379,547
C-15-2492	RIF	Chesterfield County	Chesterfield	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	200,000	50	2,608,000
C-15-2496	Setaside	Dorchester County	Dorchester	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	50,000	17	4,941,000
C-15-2497	RIF	Darlington County	Darlington	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	300,000	10	10,285,000
C-15-2498	Setaside	Greenville County	Greenville	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	68	5,233,000
C-15-2504	RIF	Colleton County	Colleton	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	1,000,000	108	3,370,000
C-15-2508	Setaside	Florence County	Florence	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	400,000	25	4,000,000
C-15-2509	Setaside	Laurens County	Laurens	Tier 2	Land Acquisition	1,200,000	548	23,800,000
C-15-2510	Setaside	Greenville County	Greenville	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	250,000	134	51,496,919
C-15-2519	Closing	Berkeley County	Berkeley	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	36,000,000	154	31,430,313
C-15-2506	RIF	Cherokee County	Cherokee	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	1,500,000		
C-15-2400	RIF	Williamsburg County	Williamsburg	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	600,000	65	20,500,000
C-15-2436	RIF	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	500,000	270	385,200,000
C-15-2452	RIF	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	100,000	21	5,410,000
C-15-2472	RIF	Union County	Union	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	400,000	75	29,727,724
C-15-2473	RIF	Bamberg County	Bamberg	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	240,000	28	19,000,000
C-15-2488	RIF	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	100,000	53	2,715,920
C-15-2499	Setaside	Lexington County	Lexington	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	160,000	40	11,500,000
C-15-2501	Setaside	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	150,000	150	66,000,000
C-15-2503	RIF	Chester County	Chester	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	1,800,000	300	113,196,000

## COORDINATING COUNCIL GRANT ACTIVITY & ACCOMPLISHMENTS NEW BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AWARDS CALENDAR YEAR 2015

Grant Number	Funding Source	Grant Recipient	County	Tier	Scope of Work	Grant Amount	Projected New Jobs	Projected Capital Investment
C-15-2505	Setaside	Lexington County	Lexington	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	200,000	100	40,500,000
C-15-2516	Setaside	Horry County	Horry	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	200,000	49	2,515,000
C-15-2525	Setaside	York County	York	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	250,000	213	12,674,000
C-15-2530	Setaside	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	150,000	75	53,400,000
C-15-2532	RIF	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Road Improvements	550,000	500	4,000,000
C-15-2534	Setaside	Laurens County	Laurens	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	100,000	39	17,700,000
C-16-2423	Setaside	Greenville County	Greenville	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	61	23,500,000
C-16-2536	Setaside	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	100,000	89	2,450,000
C-16-2539	Setaside	Charleston County	Charleston	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	138	12,000,000
C-16-2541	RIF	Chester County	Chester	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	100,000	52	25,600,000
C-16-2542	Setaside	Kershaw County	Kershaw	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	3,500,000	410	72,134,500
C-16-2544	Setaside	Greenville County	Greenville	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	150,000	102	5,740,142
C-16-2548*	Closing	Anderson County	Anderson	Tier 2	Road Improvements	2,500,000		
C-16-2553	Setaside	Greenville County	Greenville	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	141	44,631,000
C-16-2554	Setaside	Spartanburg County	Spartanburg	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	500,000	94	35,440,885
C-14-2369	RIF	Marion County	Marion	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	100,000	40	1,500,000
C-15-2405	Setaside	Kershaw County	Kershaw	Tier 1	Infrastructure	275,000	29	55,500,000
C-15-2419	Setaside	Kershaw County	Kershaw	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	20	9,145,000
C-15-2459	RIF	Hampton County	Hampton	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	50,000	0	66,000,000
C-15-2482	RIF	Cherokee County	Cherokee	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	100,000	35	6,500,000
C-15-2512	Setaside	Laurens County	Laurens	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	250,000	91	24,000,000
C-15-2517	Setaside	Kershaw County	Kershaw	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	200,000	20	29,600,000
C-15-2523	RIF	Abbeville County	Abbeville	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	150,000	50	1,700,000
C-16-2547	Setaside	Charleston County	Charleston	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	21	7,225,000
C-16-2550	RIF	Barnwell County	Barnwell	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	50,000	19	500,000
C-16-2552	RIF	Hampton County	Hampton	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	260,000	37	4,850,000
C-16-2556	Setaside	Greenville County	Greenville	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	100,000	0	100,000,000
C-16-2571	Setaside	Charleston County	Charleston	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	500,000	564	22,000,000
C-16-2575	Setaside	Greenville County	Greenville	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	50,000	42	11,195,000
C-16-2577	Setaside	Georgetown County	Georgetown	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	200,000	102	19,892,500
C-16-2580	RIF	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	150,000	100	3,000,000
C-16-2581	Setaside	Florence County	Florence	Tier 2	Real Property Improvements	40,000	15	309,895
C-16-2585	RIF	Chesterfield County	Chesterfield	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	150,000	92	7,300,000
C-16-2588	Setaside	Charleston County	Charleston	Tier 1	Real Property Improvements	50,000	310	1,605,000
C-16-2589	RIF	Lancaster County	Lancaster	Tier 3	Real Property Improvements	50,000	20	7,500,000
C-16-2592	RIF	Clarendon County	Clarendon	Tier 4	Real Property Improvements	200,000	26	2,600,000
Totals						\$83,205,000	\$11,230	\$2,752,292,873

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{*}}$  Same project as C-15-2474 and jobs and investment apply to both projects.

Please note: this table only includes grants that have been formally approved by the Council and accepted by the company involved. Contingent commitments are not included.

#### **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT**

During 2015, the Council awarded a little over \$1.1 million to six (6) local governments for ten (10) projects involving certified site development or product development, including spec building acquisition and/or construction and site development. The majority of these funds were awarded out of the Rural Infrastructure Fund but 13% was awarded out of the RIF developed county reserve. Generally, only local governments located in counties designated as Tier III or Tier IV for Jobs Tax Credit purposes are eligible for RIF funds, except that when annual deposits exceed \$10 million, up to 25% of the amount over \$10 million must be made available to counties qualified as Tiers I or II for projects that will benefit underdeveloped areas of those counties (SC Code of Laws §12-10-85). The Council refers to this amount as the RIF Reserve Fund.

RIF grants awarded for community development during 2015 are detailed below.

# NEW COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND & RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUND DEVELOPED COUNTY RESERVE CALENDAR YEAR 2015

Grant Number	Funding Source	Recipient	County Tier	Scope of Work	Grant Amount
RIF-SCP-33	RIF Reserve	Lexington County	1	Certified Sites	10,500
RIF-SCP-40	RIF Reserve	York County	2	Certified Sites	33,250
C-15-2478	RIF	Marion County	4	Building Acquisition	600,000
RIF-SCP-41	RIF Reserve	York County	2	Certified Sites	49,250
RIF-SCP-42	RIF	Marion County	4	Certified Sites	42,875
RIF-SCP-43	RIF	Chester County	3	Certified Sites	24,736
RIF-SCP-44	RIF	Chester County	3	Certified Sites	58,902
RIF-SCP-45	RIF	Chester County	3	Certified Sites	36,024
RIF-SCP-46	RIF Reserve	Kershaw County	1	Certified Sites	45,579
C-16-2540	RIF	Allendale County	4	Spec Building	150,000
	!F12 Is Not In Table				

#### GRANT PROGRAM COMPLIANCE

Grants from any of the funds managed by the Council, including the Set-Aside Fund, Rural Infrastructure Fund and Governor's Closing Fund, are made under and in accordance with the laws of the State of South Carolina. The federal and state courts within South Carolina have exclusive jurisdiction to adjudicate any disputes arising out of or in connection with these grants.

Failure to comply with any of the terms and conditions of the grant can cause the Council to take, in addition to any relief that it is entitled to by law, any or all of the following actions:

- Require repayment of all or a portion of any grant funds provided; and/or cancel, terminate, or suspend the grant, in whole or in part.
- Refrain from extending any further assistance or grant funds until such time as the grantee is in full compliance with the terms and conditions of the grant agreement.

#### **MONITORING**

The portion of projects to be funded in whole or in part with grant funds must generally be completed by the grantee within 18 months of the date of award of the grant. Completion is defined as the final documentation by the grantee to the Council of grant funds expended and issuance by the Council of a notification in writing of the financial closure of the grant. The Council may grant extensions to the completion period requirement at its discretion.

All projects must generally begin within three (3) months of the date of award of the grant. If the grantee does not begin the project within three (3) months of the date of award of the grant, the Council reserves the right to rescind the grant, require the repayment of any grant funds provided to the grantee and terminate the agreement.

After financial closeout, final closeout of economic development grants does not occur until the terms of the performance agreement are satisfied.

#### **PROCUREMENT**

Records for property purchased totally or partially with grant funds must be retained for a period of three (3) years after its final disposition. The grantee will maintain records relating to procurement matters for the period of time prescribed by applicable procurement laws, regulations and guidelines, but no less than three (3) years. All other pertinent grant and project records including financial records, supporting documents and statistical records will be retained for a minimum of three (3) years after notification in writing by the Council of the closure of the grant.

The grantee will certify, to the best of its knowledge, information and belief, that the work on the project for which reimbursement is requested has been completed in accordance with the terms

and conditions of the grant agreement. The grantee will return surplus grant funds that result from project cost underruns and commit and provide monies from its own resources for cost overruns that are required to complete the project.